# Constructing stabilized dynamic treatment regimens using electronic health record data

#### Yingqi Zhao

#### Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center

#### Oct 25, 2016 2nd Seattle Symposium on Health Care Data Analysis

# Outline

- Motivation
- Oynamic treatment regimes
- Stabilized dynamic treatment regimes
- Other challenges

## Diabetes

- Current diabetes guidelines: tight control of glycosylated hemoglobin (A1c) (< 7 %)
  - Healthy patients.
  - Based on trials of younger patients without severe diabetes complications or other comorbidities.
  - Relatively low risk of tight control; significant benefits in reducing incidence of vascular events
  - Tight control of BP ( $<\!\!130/80$  mm Hg) and LDL cholesterol ( $<\!\!100$  mg/dl) for patients with diabetes

#### Diabetes

- Inappropriate for complex diabetes patients, i.e., older patients (age > 65 years) and/or those with comorbid conditions.
  - Evidence for these guidelines was mainly obtained from the results of randomized clinical trials (RCTs)
  - Complex patients usually meet the exclusion criteria of clinical trials
  - Increased risk of drug-related morbidity, e.g., hypoglycemia, hypotension

#### Diabetes

- Guidelines recognize that less stringent treatment goals may be appropriate for complex diabetes patients, and recommend individualization in treatments based on clinical experiences.
- How can we strengthen the current guidelines for complex patients?
- Opportunities: large electronic health records systems

# A1c control observational study (PI: Smith, Maureen)

- Linked claims and EHR data for Medicare beneficiaries in the University of Wisconsin Medical Foundation (UWMF) system.
  - Met a validated algorithm for identifying patients with diabetes via claims (each claim contains information associated with the services or procedures performed, e.g., ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes);
  - Medically homed at the participating large, Midwestern, multi-specialty provider group
  - UWMF EHR systems: detailed clinical results including laboratory values and vital signs
- 8,304 diabetes patients active during 2003-2011, recorded each 90-day quarter in which they were alive at the start of the quarter



- A1c values recorded in the EHR.
- Outcome: adverse outcomes occurring during these quarters (e.g., emergency department use or hospitalizations, death), documented from claims information.
- Covariates: sociodemographics, and indicators for comorbidities; time varying patient complexity: the presence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) or congestive heart failure (CHF)

#### Motivation

Dynamic treatment regimes Stabilized Dynamic Treatment Regimes Other challenges

#### Data



Figure 1: Risk of multiple events.

#### Data

#### How to target tight A1c control for these patients?



Figure 2: A1c level over time.

# Dynamic Treatment Regime

- At any decision point
  - Input: available historical information on the patient to that point.
  - Output: next treatment.
- Dynamic treatment regimes (DTRs) are sequential decision rules for individual patients that can adapt over time to an evolving illness.
  - One decision rule for each time point.
  - Each rule: recommends the treatment at that point as a function of accrued historical information.
  - An algorithm for treating any patient.
  - Aim to optimize some cumulative clinical outcome.

# **DTR** Goals

Learn adaptive treatment strategies: tailor (sequences of) treatments based on patient characteristics.

Once and for All

Dynamic

11/28

Maximize the benefit of dynamic treatment regimes:

- Well chosen tailoring variables.
- Well devised decision rules.

# Dynamic Treatment Regimes (DTRs)

Observe data on n individuals, T stages for each individual,

 $X_1, A_1, R_1, X_2, A_2, \ldots, X_T, A_T, R_T, X_{T+1}$ 

- X<sub>1</sub>: Initial information.
- $X_t$ : Intermediate information between stages t 1 and  $t, t \ge 2$ .
- A<sub>t</sub>: Observed treatment received at stage t, e.g., tight control A1c or not, A<sub>t</sub> ∈ {0,1}.
- *R<sub>t</sub>*: Observed outcome following stage *t*, e.g., ED visits, hospitalizations or deaths following stage *t*.
- $H_t$ : History available at stage t,  $H_t = \{X_1, A_1, R_1, \dots, A_{t-1}, R_{t-1}, X_t\}.$

A DTR is a sequence of decision rules:

 $d = (d_1(H_1), \ldots, d_T(H_T)), d_t(H_t) \in \{0, 1\}.$ 

12/28

# Multi-stage Data

 $H_1$ 

<ロ > < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > こ き く こ > こ の Q (の 13/28)

# Multi-stage Data



<ロト < 部ト < 言ト < 言ト 言 の Q (の 13/28

# Multi-stage Data



<ロト < 部ト < 書ト < 書ト 差 の Q () 13/28

# Multi-stage Data



#### Multi-stage Data



<ロト < 部ト < 言ト < 言ト 言 の Q (の 13/28

#### Multi-stage Data



<ロト < 部ト < 書ト < 書ト 差 の Q () 13/28

#### Multi-stage Data



<ロ > < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > こ き < こ > こ の Q (の 13/28)

#### Multi-stage Data



<ロト < 部ト < 書ト < 書ト 差 の Q () 13/28

#### Multi-stage Data



<ロ> < 部 > < 書 > < 書 > < 書 > 書 の Q () 13/28

**Dynamic Treatment Regimes** 

An example of desired regime:

Target tight A1c control on patients with A1c level >7.5% who does not have CKD/CHF

Mathematically, the formal rule is

$$d_t(H_t) = I(A1c_t > 7.5\% \& CKD_t = 0 \& CHF_t = 0).$$

The treatment decision rule at each decision point is the same function of the time-varying covariate(s), also called shared decision (Chakraborty et al (2016))

< 白 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Value Function and Optimal DTR for Multiple Stages

#### Goal

Maximize (minimize) the expected sum of outcomes if the DTR is followed by all patients in the population.

- The value function:  $\mathcal{V}(d) = E^d(R_1 + \ldots + R_T)$ .
- Optimal DTR:  $d^* = \operatorname{argmax}_d \mathcal{V}(d)$ .
- Two main challenges in developing optimal DTRs:
  - Taking individual information into account in decision making.
  - Incorporating long-term benefits and risks of treatment due to delayed effects.

# Dynamic Programming



# Dynamic Programming



# Dynamic Programming



# Dynamic Programming



## Dynamic Programming



## Construct a DTR via Q-learning

- An extension of regression to sequential treatments.
- Q learning with regression: estimate the Q-functions from data using regression and then find the optimal DTR.
- Decision not shared; rely on the assumption that models are correct.

# Stabilized Dynamic Treatment Regimes

- Learn the optimal DTRs at all stages simultaneously.
- Identify an estimator of V(d), and directly maximize Û(d) over d ∈ D, where D is a prespecified class of DTRs of interest, e.g., linear DTRs
- An inverse probability of treatment estimator (IPWE) of  $\mathcal{V}(d)$

$$\hat{V}^{IPWE}(d) = \mathbb{P}_n\left(\frac{(\sum_j R_j)I\{A_j = d_j(H_j), j = 1, \dots, T\}}{\prod_{j=1}^T P(A_j = d_j(H_j)|H_j)}\right).$$

## Stabilized Dynamic Treatment Regimes

• Let 
$$d_t(h_t) = I(h_t^T \beta > 0)$$
 and find  $\hat{\beta}$  that maximizes  $\hat{V}^{IPWE}(d)$ .

- Replace a concave surrogate for the indicator to alleviate the computation difficulties.
- $P(A_t|H_t)$  can be estimated using e.g., logistic regression
- Apply the LASSO penalty for sparsity.

#### Simulation Studies: Generative Model

• Baseline covariates  $X_{1,1}, \ldots, X_{1,50} \sim N(0,1)$ 

• 
$$X_{t,1} = X_{t-1,1} + N(0,1), j \ge 2.$$

• 
$$logit(P(A_t = 1 | H_t)) = 2X_t - 1$$

• 
$$Y \sim 10 + X_{1,1}X_{1,2} + X_{1,3} - \sum_{t=1}^{4} |2X_{t,1} - 3| \{ I(A_t > 0) - I(X_{t,1}^3 - 0.5 > 0) \}^2 + N(0,1).$$

• Optimal decisions:  $d_t^*(h_t) = \operatorname{sign}(X_{t,1} - 0.79)$ 

#### Simulation Studies

- Training data sample size 1000.
- Testing data sample size 10000.
- 500 replications.
- Evaluate using the values of the estimated DTRs.

★ロト ★課 ト ★注 ト ★注 ト 一注

#### Simulation Studies

	<i>n</i> = 1000
SDTR	8.66
Q learning	5.47
Shared Q learning	7.81

## Medication regimes

- Large number of combinations of therapies are possible
- Use pharmacy claims to identify individual medications
- Group medications into therapeutic classes and identify the most frequent drug regimens

## Missing data

• A significant portion of our data set is missing.



Missing data in A1c measurements over time

・ 4 四 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 り 4 円 ト 4

## Missing data

• A significant portion of our data set is missing.



Missing data in Systolic BP measurements over time

# Missing Data

- EHR data are collected in a non-prescheduled fashion only when the patient seeks care or the physician orders care, creating a situation with intermittent missing data.
- The visiting process could be potentially informative about the patients' risk categories; not missing at random.

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

26/28

## Multiple imputation

- The missing data are filled in *m* times to generate *m* complete data sets
- Estimate the optimal stabilized DTR using each complete data set.
- Results from the *m* complete data sets are combined.
- Fully Conditional Specification/Bayesian Mixed Effects Method to impute (Shortreed et al, 2014)
- Explore Bayesian multiple imputation approach and pattern mixture model methods.

# Thanks!